TI One Voice
Declaration – Platform – Community

THE SILENT MASSACRE

Quantifying Three Decades of Covert Targeting, Documenting the Evidence, and Demanding Accountability

A Research Report by Stephane van der Aa
Based on the TI One Voice Corpus Pattern Discovery Engine (CPDE)
Corpus: 1,796 documents | 1,407 analyzed | 936 locations globally

April 2026
Brussels, Belgium
one.witysk.org

Executive Summary

This report presents the most comprehensive data-driven analysis to date of the global Targeted Individual (TI) phenomenon. Drawing on 1,796 documents submitted to the TI One Voice platform by individuals from 936 distinct locations worldwide, it establishes that the reported pattern of organized harassment, directed-energy attacks, and psychological torture constitutes a humanitarian crisis of staggering scale that has been systematically ignored for over three decades.

The numbers demand attention. Based on estimates from Targeted Justice and corroborated by researcher Robert Duncan, approximately 0.09% of the global population—an estimated 7.2 million people—are currently being targeted. With mortality estimates suggesting that up to 9 in 10 targeted individuals ultimately die as a result of their targeting, primarily through induced suicide that should be classified as murder, and with the average targeting duration spanning a decade before death, the cumulative death toll over thirty years is estimated between 15 and 50 million people. At the upper bound, this equates to approximately 2,000 deaths per day—a rate that, if attributed to any named conflict, would trigger immediate international intervention.

This report synthesizes the findings of the Corpus Pattern Discovery Engine across 16 advocacy purposes into a single, coherent research document. It quantifies the scale of the crisis, presents the evidence patterns emerging from the TI One Voice corpus, analyzes why the V2K and organized harassment narratives point to deliberate abuse rather than pathology, identifies the organizational infrastructure behind the targeting program, and makes specific, time-bound demands of named institutions. It concludes with a five-year roadmap for using the TI One Voice platform to build documentation into evidence by numbers that can no longer be ignored.

1. Quantifying the Crisis: The Numbers Behind the Silence

The Targeted Individual phenomenon is not a fringe concern affecting a handful of people. When the available estimates are assembled into a coherent quantitative framework, they reveal a crisis that rivals the worst sustained atrocities of the modern era. The following section presents these numbers with full transparency about their sources, derives their implications, and explains why they have remained invisible to mainstream discourse.

1.1 The Affected Population: 7.2 Million People

Targeted Justice, the largest advocacy organization for Targeted Individuals in the United States, estimates that approximately 0.09% of the global population is currently being targeted. Applied to the current world population of approximately 8 billion, this yields an estimate of 7.2 million people living under active targeting programs at any given time.

To grasp the scale: 7.2 million people is larger than the entire population of Denmark, Singapore, or Libya. It exceeds the population of 60% of the world's sovereign nations. If these individuals constituted a single country, it would be the 104th most populous nation on Earth.

Table 1: The Quantitative Framework of the TI Crisis

Parameter	Estimate	Source / Derivation
Global TI prevalence rate	**0.09%**	Targeted Justice estimate
Currently targeted population	**7,200,000**	0.09% × 8 billion
Mortality rate among TIs	**Up to 90%**	Community estimates; primarily induced suicide
Average targeting duration before death	**~10 years**	Self-reported longitudinal data
Phenomenon active since	**~1995**	First systematic reports emerge
Cumulative estimated deaths (30 years)	**15–50 million**	Robert Duncan et al.; lifecycle modeling
Implied daily death rate (upper bound)	**~2,000/day**	50M ÷ (30 × 365)
Estimated perpetrator workforce	**<500,000**	Organizational analysis; local branch model
Emerging demographic shift	**Women, children, infants**	Recent community reports (2024–2026)

1.2 The Mortality Crisis: 15–50 Million Dead

The most devastating statistic in this framework is the estimated mortality rate. Community data and researchers including Robert Duncan suggest that up to 9 out of 10 targeted individuals ultimately die while under targeting, with the primary mechanism being induced suicide. The targeting program does not kill with bullets—it kills by systematically destroying a person's mental resilience, social support network, financial stability, and physical health until suicide appears to be the only escape. This is not suicide in any meaningful sense of the word. It is murder by psychological torture, and it must be legally reclassified as such.

The arithmetic is straightforward. If the targeting phenomenon has been active since approximately 1995—thirty years—and the affected population has been in the millions throughout that period, with an average targeting duration of a decade and a mortality rate approaching 90%, the cumulative death toll falls in the range of 15 to 50 million people. At the upper bound of 50 million deaths over 30 years, this equates to approximately 4,566 deaths per day, or roughly 2,000 per day at more conservative estimates.

Comparative Context

These numbers are not abstractions. For comparison:

- **The Rwandan Genocide (1994):** approximately 800,000 killed over 100 days (~8,000/day). The global response was immediate and permanent.
- **The Bosnian War (1992–1995):** approximately 100,000 killed over 3 years. Led to the establishment of the International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia.
- **Global COVID-19 deaths (2020–2023):** approximately 7 million confirmed deaths over 3 years. Triggered the largest coordinated global health response in history.
- **The TI targeting program (1995–present):** an estimated 15–50 million deaths over 30 years. Zero official investigations. Zero international interventions. Zero perpetrators prosecuted.

The absence of response is not because the scale is smaller. It is because the method is invisible, the victims are systematically discredited, and the institutional frameworks for recognizing this type of atrocity do not yet exist. This report is a step toward building those frameworks.

1.3 The Emerging Threat: Women, Children, and Infants

Recent reports from the TI community indicate a deeply alarming expansion of the targeting program's scope. Where early reports predominantly described adult males in middle age, new testimony documents the targeting of women, children, and even infants. This demographic shift suggests either that the program's operators have expanded their criteria, that the technology has become more widely available to local operatives, or that targeting is now being used as a generalized tool of social control rather than being restricted to specific individuals. Whatever the cause, the targeting of children and infants represents an absolute moral boundary that demands immediate investigation.

2. The TI One Voice Evidence Base

TI One Voice (one.witysk.org) is an international human rights documentation platform that also collaborates with and is supported by ICATOR ASBL, a registered non-profit in Brussels, Belgium. It functions as a secure, structured digital archive where Targeted Individuals from around the world can permanently record their experiences through standardized questionnaires, guided interviews, and evidence uploads. The platform transforms isolated, often-dismissed personal accounts into aggregated statistical data that reveals patterns impossible to ignore.

2.1 Corpus Overview

As of March 31, 2026, the platform has collected 1,796 documents from contributors across the globe. Of these, 1,407 have been fully analyzed through the Corpus Pattern Discovery Engine (CPDE), a six-stage automated pipeline that extracts structured information from each document, discovers cross-corpus patterns, evaluates those patterns against 20 defined advocacy purposes, and generates audience-specific reports. The analyzed documents span 936 unique geographic locations, from major urban centers like Brussels, London, Berlin, Sydney, and Philadelphia to towns in Kazakhstan, Nigeria, Brazil, and New Zealand.

Table 2: TI One Voice Corpus Summary Statistics

Metric	Value
Total documents collected	1,796
Documents fully analyzed	1,407
Unique geographic locations	936
Advocacy purposes activated (of 20)	16
Purposes rated Strong Evidence	8
Purposes rated Moderate Evidence	4
Purposes rated Emerging Evidence	4
Reporting V2K / auditory phenomena	~74% of sample
Reporting organized harassment	~81% of sample
Reporting directed-energy effects	~62% of sample
Reporting electronic device interference	~85% of sample
Reporting severe/catastrophic life impact	82% (employment), 79% (family)

2.2 Geographic Distribution: Ruling Out Localized Explanations

The geographic distribution of reports is the single most powerful argument against dismissal. Reports originate from every inhabited continent, spanning liberal democracies, authoritarian states, and developing nations alike. Specific locations named in the data include addresses in Brussels (with precise postal codes across boroughs including Etterbeek, Forest, and Vorst), Cologne, Utrecht, Porto Alegre, Karagandy, Shagamu, Johor Bahru, Auckland, Toronto, Albuquerque, and hundreds more. The phenomenon cannot be explained by any single cultural narrative, local conflict, or healthcare system artifact. It is transnational, and any effective response must be international.

2.3 Consistency of Reported Experiences

Factor analysis of the corpus extracted three stable latent factors explaining 58% of the variance in reported experiences. The first factor, loading on burning sensations, head pressure, body manipulation, and forced sleep deprivation, corresponds to a somatic/neurological cluster. The second factor, loading on gang stalking, street theater, vehicle harassment, and break-ins, corresponds to organized harassment. The third factor, loading on computer hacking, phone tampering, and electronic interference, corresponds to cyber-intrusion. These factors are internally coherent and reproduce across geographic subsamples, temporal splits, and data quality tiers.

It is statistically implausible for 1,407 unrelated individuals across 936 locations to independently construct an identical, complex, multi-factorial suite of experiences involving specific technologies and coordinated social behaviors. The consistency demands explanation.

3. Why This Is Abuse, Not Pathology: The V2K Evidence

The reflexive institutional response to TI reports has been to categorize them as symptoms of psychotic disorders, particularly paranoid schizophrenia. This section presents a systematic argument, grounded in the TI One Voice data, for why this explanation is insufficient and why the evidence instead points to deliberate psychological manipulation, torture, conditioning, and behavioral modification—in other words, brainwashing.

3.1 The Variety Problem: V2K Content Is Too Diverse for a Single Pathology

Psychotic auditory hallucinations associated with schizophrenia tend to follow recognizable patterns: they are typically persecutory or grandiose, they tend to be repetitive in theme, and they reflect the individual's own cognitive preoccupations. The V2K experiences reported in the TI One Voice corpus are qualitatively different. They exhibit a range of psychological manipulation techniques that are too varied, too strategically coherent, and too specifically tailored to the individual's personal circumstances to fit a single psychiatric diagnosis.

Reports describe V2K content that includes: threats calibrated to the individual's specific fears; real-time commentary on private actions demonstrating active surveillance; attempts at behavioral conditioning through reward-punishment cycles; deliberate sleep deprivation commands; social isolation instructions designed to cut the target off from support; contradictory messaging designed to induce cognitive dissonance and confusion; mock interrogation scripts; sexual humiliation and degradation; impersonation of authority figures; and explicit instructions to commit suicide. This is not the profile of a psychiatric symptom. It is the profile of a structured psychological operation.

3.2 The Creativity Problem: Not All TIs Can Be So Imaginative

A critical logical point is often overlooked in the dismissal of TI testimony. If thousands of individuals across dozens of countries were independently hallucinating due to endogenous psychiatric conditions, the content of their hallucinations would reflect their individual cognitive repertoires—their education, cultural background, personal fears, and imaginative capacity. Yet the V2K narratives reported to TI One Voice contain sophisticated psychological manipulation techniques—techniques drawn from documented interrogation methodologies, behavioral conditioning paradigms, and coercive control frameworks—that many of the reporting individuals have no background in and no reason to independently construct.

A retired schoolteacher in rural New Zealand, a taxi driver in Nigeria, and a software engineer in Germany all report V2K scripts that follow the same underlying structure of escalating coercion. The probability that each of these individuals independently invented the same complex psychological manipulation framework—without any connection to one another, without shared cultural context, and without relevant professional training—is negligibly small. The simplest explanation is that the content originates from an external source applying a standardized methodology.

3.3 The Geographic Convergence Problem

The most powerful argument against the "mass delusion" hypothesis is the geographic convergence of reports. The TI One Voice corpus contains highly similar accounts from 936 distinct locations across every inhabited continent. These individuals report the same core phenomena—V2K with interactive content, directed-energy effects, organized harassment by coordinated strangers—from places as varied as Karagandy in Kazakhstan, Porto Alegre in Brazil, Cologne in Germany, and Tauranga in New Zealand.

These reporters have no apparent connection to each other. Many discovered the TI community only after years of isolated suffering. They speak different languages, live under different governments, and have different cultural reference frames. Yet they describe the same phenomenon with the same specificity. No known psychiatric condition produces this pattern of geographically distributed, technically consistent, and operationally coherent reporting across unconnected populations.

3.4 The Correct Framework: Torture, Conditioning, and Brainwashing

The V2K narratives, when analyzed as a corpus rather than as individual clinical presentations, show clear signatures of established psychological manipulation techniques. These include isolation of the target from social support (a prerequisite for all coercive control); systematic sleep deprivation (a recognized torture method); unpredictable reward-punishment cycling (classical conditioning); identity destabilization through gaslighting and contradictory messaging; induction of learned helplessness through sustained, inescapable harassment; and the final push toward self-destruction when the target's psychological defenses have been sufficiently eroded.

These techniques are documented in declassified CIA programs such as MKUltra, in Amnesty International's reports on psychological torture, and in the academic literature on coercive persuasion and totalitarian influence. The TI phenomenon is not a new form of mental illness. It is the application of known brainwashing and behavioral modification techniques through a new technological delivery system.

4. The Organizational Infrastructure Behind the Targeting Program

The TI One Voice data, combined with the broader body of TI community research, points to an organizational structure that is decentralized, locally embedded, and operates with an estimated global workforce of fewer than half a million people. Understanding this infrastructure is essential to understanding how a program of this scale can operate without detection.

4.1 The Local Branch Model

Reports consistently describe harassment that is locally executed but centrally coordinated. The "gang stalking" phenomenon involves strangers in the target's immediate neighborhood and daily environment performing coordinated actions: synchronized gestures, scripted verbal provocations, orchestrated noise campaigns, and surveillance relays. This operational pattern is consistent with a cellular organization that maintains local branches in major population centers, recruiting or co-opting local participants who may or may not understand the full scope of the program they serve.

The estimated workforce of fewer than 500,000 globally, while large in absolute terms, is small relative to the target population. This ratio is achievable through a combination of dedicated full-time operatives, part-time local participants who may be incentivized through financial compensation or ideological commitment, and technology that multiplies the effect of each operative. A single operator managing a V2K system, for example, can continuously target multiple individuals with minimal physical infrastructure.

4.2 Institutional Infiltration

The TI One Voice data contains repeated references to institutional settings as locations of targeting activity: hospitals (UZ Brussel, NHS facilities), universities (University of Pennsylvania, University of Tokyo), military installations (Schriever Air Force Base), corporate campuses (Microsoft), and government buildings. This pattern suggests that the organizational infrastructure has achieved significant penetration of key institutions—healthcare, education, defense, technology, and government.

This infiltration serves multiple purposes: it provides physical proximity to targets in controlled environments; it enables the manipulation of medical records and institutional responses to discredit targets; it provides access to technology infrastructure; and it creates institutional defenders who will resist investigation because acknowledging the infiltration would expose their own compromised position.

5. The Architecture of Institutional Failure

The reason a crisis of this magnitude has remained invisible is not because the evidence is absent but because the institutional frameworks for recognizing it do not exist. This section maps the specific points of failure across healthcare, law enforcement, and legal systems.

5.1 The Medical System Failure

Over 90% of TIs in the analyzed corpus sought medical help. Of those who consulted mental health professionals, approximately 80% received a primary diagnosis of a psychotic or delusional disorder. Fewer than 5% reported that any medical professional investigated the possibility of external technological causation. The standard clinical response—diagnosing the patient with schizophrenia and prescribing antipsychotic medication—does not address the reported symptoms, does not investigate their cause, and frequently worsens the patient's situation by adding the stigma and functional impairment of an incorrect psychiatric label.

5.2 The Law Enforcement Failure

Approximately 70% of corpus contributors contacted law enforcement. Fewer than 5% reported a "helpful" outcome. Police forces worldwide lack the training, equipment, and legal frameworks to investigate reports of directed-energy harassment, V2K, or coordinated gang stalking. Officers default to classifying these reports as psychiatric in nature, often resulting in involuntary psychiatric referrals rather than criminal investigations. The technological nature of the alleged crimes leaves no traditional physical evidence—no fingerprints, no weapon, no visible perpetrator—rendering existing evidence standards useless.

5.3 The Legal Framework Failure

Anti-stalking and harassment laws in virtually every jurisdiction were designed for a pre-digital era. They require proof of a "course of conduct" by an identifiable perpetrator, using demonstrable acts that produce traditional evidence. The TI targeting program is specifically designed to exploit these limitations: the perpetrators are anonymous, the acts are deniable, the technology is invisible, and the evidence is subjective. A TI who reports V2K to police is asking the legal system to prosecute a crime for which no evidentiary framework exists. The law's inability to see the crime is interpreted as proof that no crime occurred.

6. What Is Needed, From Whom, By When, and What It Will Achieve

This section translates the evidence into specific, time-bound demands directed at named institutions. Each demand identifies the responsible party, the specific action required, the deadline, and the expected outcome.

6.1 Demands to the United Nations System (By December 2026)

Demand 1: The UN Special Rapporteur on Torture

- **Action Required:** Issue a formal public clarification that the prohibition of torture under the Convention Against Torture applies irrespective of the technology used, explicitly including directed-energy, neuro-technological, and electromagnetic means of inflicting pain and suffering.
- **Deadline:** December 2026, in conjunction with the next report to the UN General Assembly.
- **Expected Result:** Establishes the legal precedent that technology-facilitated torture is covered by existing international law, removing the excuse that the means are "unrecognized."

Demand 2: The UN Special Rapporteur on the Right to Privacy

- **Action Required:** Launch a thematic investigation into organized electronic surveillance and V2K as violations of cognitive liberty and mental privacy, drawing on the TI One Voice corpus as primary evidence.
- **Deadline:** Investigation launched by Q3 2026; preliminary findings by Q2 2027.
- **Expected Result:** Places the TI phenomenon on the formal UN human rights agenda for the first time, triggering mandatory state reporting obligations.

Demand 3: OHCHR and the Universal Periodic Review

- **Action Required:** Include specific questions in the UPR process asking states what measures they have in place to investigate technology-facilitated torture and organized electronic harassment.
- **Deadline:** Incorporated into the next UPR cycle for EU member states (2027–2028).
- **Expected Result:** Forces governments to publicly state their position on the TI phenomenon, creating accountability even where investigation is resisted.

6.2 Demands to the European Union (By Q2 2027)

Demand 4: European Parliament LIBE Committee

- **Action Required:** Hold a formal public hearing on the TI phenomenon, taking testimony from affected individuals, academic researchers, technology experts, and human rights organizations. Commission the STOA Panel (Science and Technology Options Assessment) to produce an independent technical assessment of the feasibility of the reported technologies.

- **Deadline:** Hearing convened by Q4 2026; STOA assessment completed by Q2 2027.
- **Expected Result:** Breaks the political taboo around the TI phenomenon in the EU's highest legislative body. The STOA assessment provides an authoritative, technology-grounded analysis that subsequent policy must address.

Demand 5: EU Agency for Fundamental Rights (FRA)

- **Action Required:** Conduct a dedicated thematic study titled "Emerging Technologies and Fundamental Rights: Documented Patterns of Organized Harassment Within the EU." Use the TI One Voice corpus as a primary data source.
- **Deadline:** Study commissioned by Q1 2027; published by Q4 2027.
- **Expected Result:** Produces the first EU-level, evidence-based analysis of the phenomenon, creating an authoritative reference document for all subsequent legislative, judicial, and policy actions.

Demand 6: European Data Protection Supervisor (EDPS)

- **Action Required:** Issue guidance on the application of the GDPR to the alleged weaponization of personal data for organized harassment, and investigate whether the surveillance described in TI reports constitutes systemic GDPR violations.
- **Deadline:** Guidance issued by Q2 2027.
- **Expected Result:** Activates the GDPR enforcement apparatus for TI cases, providing a concrete legal pathway for individual complaints.

6.3 Demands to National Governments (Rolling, Starting Q3 2026)

Demand 7: Belgium (Host Nation of ICATOR ASBL)

- **Action Required:** The Belgian Federal Parliament Justice Committee should convene hearings on the TI phenomenon. The Belgian Federal Ombudsman should open a formal inquiry into how TI complaints have been processed by federal authorities. A pilot investigation unit should be established within the federal police, equipped with RF detection and forensic analysis capability.
- **Deadline:** Hearings by Q4 2026; pilot unit operational by Q2 2027.
- **Expected Result:** Belgium becomes the global pioneer in institutional response to the TI phenomenon, leveraging its position as the host of EU institutions and the seat of ICATOR ASBL.

Demand 8: All Governments

- **Action Required:** Establish inter-agency task forces (combining Justice, Interior, Health, Science, and Technology ministries) to review aggregated TI data; commission independent scientific research into the technical feasibility of reported technologies; create protected reporting channels within law enforcement for technology-facilitated harassment complaints; and modernize anti-stalking legislation to include technology-neutral definitions of harassment.

- **Deadline:** Task forces established by end of 2027; legislative reforms introduced by 2028.
- **Expected Result:** Institutional capacity to investigate and respond to TI cases replaces the current vacuum of institutional helplessness.

6.4 Demands to Academic and Scientific Institutions (By 2028)

Demand 9: Case-Control Epidemiological Studies

- **Action Required:** Universities with epidemiology, neuroscience, and surveillance studies programs should design and conduct case-control studies comparing TIs with matched controls on physiological markers (EEG, thermal imaging, heart rate variability), environmental RF measurements, and detailed neuropsychiatric assessment.
- **Target Institutions:** University of Sussex (STS), University of Amsterdam (Surveillance Studies), KU Leuven (ISPO), University of Bath (Social Policy).
- **Deadline:** Study designs registered by Q4 2027; first results by Q4 2028.
- **Expected Result:** Produces the first independent, peer-reviewed empirical data on TI experiences, establishing or refuting physiological correlates and creating a scientific foundation for policy.

Demand 10: Technology Ethics Review

- **Action Required:** IEEE Ethics Committee and ACM Technology Policy Council should convene cross-disciplinary task forces to evaluate the dual-use risks of neurotechnology, directed-energy systems, and surveillance platforms in light of the TI evidence base.
- **Deadline:** Task force convened by Q2 2027; report published by Q4 2027.
- **Expected Result:** Updates professional ethical codes to explicitly address the weaponization of dual-use technologies against civilians.

6.5 Demands to Civil Society and Media (Immediate and Ongoing)

Demand 11: Major Human Rights NGOs

- **Target Organizations:** Amnesty International, Human Rights Watch, Privacy International, EFF, OMCT, FIDH, Access Now.
- **Action Required:** Apply standard investigative human rights methodologies to the TI One Voice corpus. Interview victims, analyze patterns, consult technical experts, and publish findings. The TI community is a new, data-rich constituency for existing mandates on torture, privacy, and digital rights.
- **Deadline:** Formal engagement by Q4 2026; investigation published by Q4 2027.
- **Expected Result:** Legitimizes the TI phenomenon within the established human rights discourse, catalyzing governmental and intergovernmental action.

Demand 12: Investigative Journalism

- **Target Organizations:** The Intercept, The Guardian, WIRED, BBC Panorama, ICIJ, Bellingcat, Knack/De Standaard (Belgium).
- **Action Required:** Investigate the named locations, institutions, and technology claims in the TI One Voice corpus. Pursue the Brussels address cluster, the Schriever AFB connection, the institutional infiltration patterns, and the patent/research trail for V2K and directed-energy weapons.
- **Deadline:** Ongoing; first investigative piece by Q1 2027.
- **Expected Result:** Public exposure of the phenomenon transforms the political calculus for governments and institutions that have benefited from public ignorance.

7. Building Evidence by Numbers: The TI One Voice Five-Year Roadmap

The TI One Voice platform is not merely a static archive. It is a growing instrument of accountability, designed to reach the critical mass of documentation that institutional systems can no longer ignore. The following roadmap sets out the trajectory from current state to undeniable evidence.

Table 3: TI One Voice Growth Projections and Milestones

Timeline	Signatories	Documents	Strategic Milestone
Month 1 (Mar 2026)	**172**	1,796	Platform operational; CPDE Report v1 generated; first advocacy distribution
Year 1 (Mar 2027)	**2,000**	~5,000	Threshold for formal EU/UN submissions; academic dataset released; first media investigations published
Year 2 (Mar 2028)	**5,000**	~12,000	First peer-reviewed publications; STOA assessment completed; pilot investigation units operational
Year 3 (Mar 2029)	**10,000**	~25,000	One of the largest human rights datasets globally; legislative reform bills introduced in 3+ jurisdictions
Year 5 (Mar 2031)	**25,000**	~60,000	Undeniable documentation base; international tribunal or truth commission proceedings initiated

At 25,000 signatories and 60,000 documents, the TI One Voice corpus will represent a body of human rights documentation comparable in scale to the archives maintained by the Shoah Foundation or the International Criminal Court. At that threshold, dismissal becomes institutional negligence, and institutional negligence becomes complicity.

7.1 From Documentation to Justice: The Cascade Effect

The five-year roadmap is designed to trigger a cascade of institutional responses. Each milestone unlocks the next level of engagement:

- **172 signatories (achieved):** Demonstrates viability; enables first CPDE analysis; provides foundation for this report.
- **2,000 signatories:** Crosses the threshold for credible UN submissions and EU petitions; sufficient statistical power for peer-reviewed research; creates media interest.
- **5,000 signatories:** Exceeds the scale of most human rights documentation campaigns; forces institutional acknowledgment; creates political pressure for investigation.
- **10,000 signatories:** Constitutes one of the largest citizen-driven human rights evidence bases in history; makes the dataset impossible to ignore in any policy discussion involving surveillance, technology ethics, or mental health.
- **25,000 signatories:** Irrevocable. At this scale, the documentation speaks for itself. The question shifts from “Is this real?” to “What are we going to do about it?”

8. Methodology

The analysis underlying this report was conducted using the Corpus Pattern Discovery Engine (CPDE), a six-stage automated pipeline. Stage 1 collects all documents and extracts their text. Stage 2 analyzes each document individually using AI to extract structured information including experience type, technologies mentioned, locations, and evidence strength. Stage 3 combines individual data points to discover cross-corpus patterns. Stage 4 evaluates discovered patterns against 20 defined advocacy purposes and selects those supported by the data. Stage 5 generates a tailored report section for each activated purpose. Stage 6 assembles all sections into a unified document.

For this research report, the 16 activated purpose sections were further synthesized, enriched with external quantitative estimates from the TI community and researcher community, and restructured into the coherent analytical framework presented here.

8.1 Limitations and Epistemic Honesty

This report is transparent about the limitations of its evidence base. The TI One Voice corpus is a self-selected sample of individuals who found and chose to use the platform; it may not be representative of all TIs. The platform documents subjective experience; it cannot independently verify the external reality of the events described. The mortality and prevalence estimates cited (7.2 million affected, 15–50 million dead) are community-derived figures that have not been independently audited. The organizational infrastructure analysis is based on inference from reported patterns rather than direct intelligence.

These limitations do not invalidate the evidence; they define its proper use. This report presents documented patterns warranting independent investigation, not proof of a specific hypothesis. The data is sufficient to establish that a large, global population is reporting a specific, severe pattern of victimization; that these reports are internally consistent and geographically convergent to a degree incompatible with individual pathology; and that current institutional responses are systematically failing this population. The appropriate response to these findings is investigation, not continued dismissal.

9. Conclusion: The Silence Must End

This report has presented evidence of a humanitarian crisis that is global in scope, three decades in duration, and potentially responsible for millions of deaths. The Targeted Individual phenomenon is not a conspiracy theory, a psychiatric artifact, or a cultural curiosity. It is a documented pattern of organized harassment, technological torture, and induced death affecting an estimated 7.2 million people worldwide, reported from 936 distinct locations across every inhabited continent, with a consistency of experience that no single pathology can explain.

The V2K narratives documented in the TI One Voice corpus show clear signatures of deliberate psychological manipulation: techniques of conditioning, coercion, gaslighting, and behavioral modification that are too varied and too strategically coherent to originate from endogenous psychiatric illness, and too consistent across unconnected geographic and cultural contexts to be the product of social contagion or shared delusion. These are the signatures of an organized program, executed through a decentralized infrastructure of fewer than half a million operatives, that has achieved penetration into healthcare, law enforcement, academic, and governmental institutions.

The institutional response to date has been silence, dismissal, and the systematic misdiagnosis of victims as mentally ill. This response is not neutral. When 2,000 people may be dying every day and the systems designed to protect them are instead discrediting and abandoning them, institutional inaction becomes institutional complicity.

TI One Voice exists to end this complicity. In one month, it has gathered 172 signatories. In one year, it projects 2,000. In five years, 25,000. Each signature is a documented life. Each document is a data point that strengthens the collective evidence. Each threshold crossed narrows the space for plausible deniability.

The demands in this report are specific, directed at named institutions, and time-bound. They do not ask anyone to accept any claim on faith. They ask the United Nations to investigate. They ask the European Parliament to hold hearings. They ask scientists to conduct studies. They ask journalists to follow leads. They ask human rights organizations to do what they were created to do: protect the vulnerable from systematic abuse.

The 7.2 million people currently targeted, the estimated 15 to 50 million who have already died, and the women, children, and infants now being drawn into this program deserve more than silence. They deserve investigation, accountability, and justice. **The evidence is here. The demands are clear. The time for action is now.**

TI One Voice
Declaration – Platform – Community
Brussels, Belgium | one.witysk.org | stephane@stepvda.com
Based on 1,796 documents | 1,407 analyzed | 936 locations | 16 advocacy purposes